



## 345TH DISTRICT COURT TRAVIS COUNTY COURTHOUSE P. O. BOX 1748 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

ALBERT ALVAREX Official Reporter (512) 854-9373

DANIKAE DOETSCH Court Clerk (512) 854-5836

DANA LEWIS Staff Attorney (512) 854-9892

STEPHEN YELENOSKY

Judge

(512) 854-9374 (512) 854-4540

ANGELA RILEY Court Operations Officer (512) 854-9712 July 23, 2012

Mr. Robert Tyler Tyler & Casteel 1812 Centre Creek Drive, Suite 110 Austin, Texas 78754 VIA FAX: (512)201-1505 Mr. J. Hampton Skelton Mr. Edward Kaye Skelton & Woody P.O. Box 1609 VIA FAX: (512) 651-7001

Re: Cause No. D-1-GN-11-002764; Matthew George vs. State Farms Lloyds Inc. in the 53<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court, Travis County Texas

## Dear Counsel:

Enclosed please find attached a decision letter from Judge Yelenosky regarding the above mentioned cause. The original letter has been filed with the District Clerk's Office.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Court Operations Officer, 345th District Court

Travis County, Texas

Orig: Amalia Rodriguez Mendoza, District Clerk Enclosure (s) 2 pages including this cover page



## 345TH DISTRICT COURT TRAVIS COUNTY COURTHOUSE P. O. BOX 1748 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78767

Filed in The District Court of Travis County, Texas

JUL 2 3 2012 LL

Amalia Rodriguez-Mendoza, Cleri

ALBERT ALVAREZ Official Reporter (\$12) 854-9373

DANIKAE DOETSCH Court Clerk (512) 854-5836

(S12) 854-9374 (S12) 854-4540

> DANA LEWIS Staff Attorney (512) 854-9892

STEPHEN YELENOSKY

Judge

ANGELA RILEY Court Operations Officer (512) 854-9712

July 23, 2012

Mr. Robert Tyler Tyler & Casteel 1812 Centre Creek Drive, Suite 110 Austin, Texas 78754 VIA FAX: (512)201-1505 Mr. J. Hampton Skelton Mr. Edward Kaye Skelton & Woody P.O. Box 1609 VIA FAX: (512) 651-7001

Re: Cause No. D-1-GN-11-002764; Matthew George vs. State Farms Lloyds Inc. in the 53<sup>rd</sup> Judicial District Court, Travis County Texas

## Dear Counsel:

The drainage ditch is a body of water, and it did overflow its banks. The reason it overflowed is not relevant. Mr. George argues that common sense requires that this event be treated just as would be treated a cut bank – which I will accept arguendo is not an "overflow." This argument is unavailing because it is an argument for how the policy should be written, not how it is written. That "common sense" policy would not turn on words like "body of water" and "overflow" but instead would turn on whether water damage occurred in a localized area by human intervention.

Please prepare an order. If there is to be an appeal, and a request for findings of fact and conclusions of law, your stipulated facts will, of course, be the findings. As for conclusions of law, the prevailing party should present all possible conclusions, and I will then decide what to include. Although I have explained my primary conclusion above, I may very well include alternate conclusions supporting the ruling.

Sincercly,

Stephen Yelenosky

Judge, 345th District Court

Orig: Amalia Rodriguez Mendoza, District Clerk